Developer’s Tip
Modeling a vertical shaft in Phase$^2$

We get many technical support questions regarding the modeling of vertical shafts in Phase$^2$. There are many engineering applications that fall into this category, such as deep shafts in hard rock for mining, wide shallow holes supported by pile walls for geotechnical engineering, and pumped wells for groundwater analyses.

This developer's tip will answer many of the common questions regarding the modeling of shafts - in particular how to perform an axisymmetric analysis and interpret the results.
What is an axisymmetric analysis and how do I do it?

The axisymmetric option in Phase\(^2\) allows you to perform a three-dimensional analysis with a two-dimensional model. The model is rotationally symmetric about an axis - so what you see is a slice of a cylinder as shown.

![Illustration of a cylinder with slice](image)

Axisymmetric analysis is described in Tutorial 6 but a few important points will be reiterated here:

- The axis of rotation is always the vertical axis at \(x=0\)
- Bolts and joints cannot be modeled, and liners must be elastic
- The field stress must be axisymmetric, i.e. aligned in the axial and radial directions.

To perform an axisymmetric analysis in Phase\(^2\), go to Analysis → Project Settings, and set the Analysis Type to Axisymmetric as shown.
**How do I model a vertical shaft?**

A shaft is then modeled by excavating down from the top of the model along the left edge as shown. This will simulate the drilling of a circular hole centred about the left edge of the model.

![Excavation of a vertical shaft in two stages](image)

**What if the shaft is not circular?**

If the shaft is not circular, you cannot do an axisymmetric analysis. You will have to do a plane strain analysis of a cross section of the shaft. Be aware that the axisymmetric analysis is a three-dimensional analysis that considers the confining effect of the bottom of the shaft. The plane strain analysis does not account for this effect and you will probably want to simulate the excavation of the shaft in the third dimension using techniques described in Tutorial 18.

There are other scenarios which may prevent you from performing an axisymmetric analysis such as:

- The stress field is not aligned with the horizontal and vertical directions
- The stress field is significantly different in the two horizontal directions
- You wish to account for joints, bolts or failing liners

In these cases you will need to perform a plane strain analysis. However, be aware that when modeling a shaft in plane strain, the true vertical direction is into the page.
Since *Phase*² always assumes gravity acts in the negative y direction (in the 2-dimensional coordinate system):

- The Gravitational field stress option cannot be used. You must use the Constant field stress option, with \( \sigma_z = \) vertical stress, \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) = major and minor horizontal principal stresses.
- Vertical groundwater flow (parallel to the shaft) cannot be analyzed. Seepage analysis can be performed in a horizontal plane, with some restrictions. Special attention must be paid to boundary conditions and data interpretation. This will be covered in a future Developer’s Tip.

*Plan view of a shaft with a square cross-section modeled with a plane strain analysis.*

**What boundary conditions should be used?**

For an axisymmetric model, with left boundary at \( x=0 \), the left edge should be fixed in the x-direction, and free to move in the y-direction.

The bottom is usually fixed in x and y. The top will be free if you are excavating down from the ground surface and fixed in the y direction if you are starting from some deeper location.

The boundary we get most questions about is the right edge. Should it be fixed in the x and y direction, or only in x? The answer is that neither approach is perfectly accurate. You are trying to simulate a boundary that is an infinite distance away from the shaft. If we fix the right boundary in x and y, the condition is too rigid. If we fix only in x, then the boundary is ‘too free’. The real solution will be bracketed by these two approaches. Note that the further the boundary is from the shaft, the less important this boundary condition is.
Two possible approaches for modeling a shaft excavated down from the ground surface

**Why is my mesh not graded?**

In an axisymmetric analysis, there is no excavation boundary (i.e. an excavation boundary according to the boundary types defined in Phase²). The excavation is open at the left edge of the model so the excavation must be implicitly defined by the external boundary, and material or stage boundaries. When Phase² generates a graded mesh, it grades from an excavation boundary. With no excavation boundary, there is no grading. You will therefore want to manually increase the density of the mesh around your shaft in one of the following ways:

- Specify discretization regions under the advanced option in the mesh dialog
- Use custom discretization (see Tutorial 6)
- Use the 'Increase Discretization Density' and 'Increase Mesh Element Density' options under the Mesh menu

Ungraded mesh (left) and mesh with increased discretization density around the shaft (right).
I want to apply liner support to my shaft. What should I use for Area and Moment of Inertia?

You should enter the same area and moment of inertia that you would for a plane strain analysis.

How do I interpret the resulting liner forces?

In a plane strain analysis, the liner forces are given per unit length in the third dimension. In an axisymmetric analysis, the liner forces are per unit arc length of rotation. This has the following consequence for your interpretation. Imagine that your liner represents a line of piles and you want to know the axial force on each pile. For a pile spacing $s$, the number of piles in one distance unit is:

$$N = \frac{1}{s} \quad \text{plane strain.}$$

In the axisymmetric case, the number of piles in one arc length unit is:

$$N = \frac{1}{s} \quad \text{axisymmetric.}$$

In both cases, the force on each pile is simply the total force divided by the number of piles.

$$F_{pile} = \frac{F}{N}$$

so,

$$F_{pile} = Fs \quad \text{plane strain}$$

$$F_{pile} = Fs \quad \text{axisymmetric}$$

where $F$ is the force shown by Phase$^2$ for the liner.
A plan view of pile wall in a plane strain analysis (left) and an axisymmetric analysis (right).

**What boundary conditions should I use for an axisymmetric seepage analysis?**

The right edge should be set to represent the far-field conditions (usually a constant head boundary condition with the head equal to the location of the water table).

For the boundaries of the shaft, the boundary conditions depend on what you want to simulate. If you only want to keep the inside of the shaft dry, you will probably maintain a 0 pressure at the bottom of the shaft through pumping. The water table will intersect the side of the shaft at some unknown location, so set these boundaries to be unknown. The figure below shows an example analysis.
If the shaft represents a well being pumped at a known rate, then you probably want to set a normal infiltration rate, $q$, on the well boundary. This is described in verification problems #15 and #16.

**How should I interpret the discharge rate?**

In an axisymmetric analysis, the discharge rate is given per radian. So for example, the following plot shows a shaft with a discharge section at the bottom. You wish to know the total discharge rate through the bottom of the shaft. Multiply the number shown ($5.44 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$) by $2\pi$ (radians) to get the total discharge rate: $3.42 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.

![Image of a shaft with discharge section]

**The maximum compressive stress ($\sigma_1$) at the edge of the shaft doesn't seem right.**

In Phase, the maximum and minimum compressive stresses ($\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$) are calculated from the stresses in the observed plane. In an axisymmetric analysis of a vertical shaft, the x-axis of the observed plane is in the radial direction and the y-axis is in the vertical direction. The values calculated for $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ do not consider the circumferential stress acting into the page. If the horizontal stress is high, as in a coal mine for example, the circumferential stress will probably be the maximum stress at the shaft boundary. To observe the circumferential stress, you need to plot $\sigma_z$. 
\( \sigma_1 \) (left) and \( \sigma_z \) (right) for a shaft in a coal mine. A query point shows the values on the periphery of the shaft.

**Summary**

This developer tip answers some of the common questions regarding the modeling of a vertical shaft in *Phase*\(^2\). An example model that includes many of the features discussed can be found by clicking here. As always, feel free to take advantage of our free technical support to ask any further questions you may have.